

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/643,987	08/20/2003	Marybeth Ahern	END920030053US1	5154		
	7590 05/17/201 OTKOWSKI SAFRA	EXAMINER				
Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			KARDOS, NEIL R			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/17/2010	EL ECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com rescobar@rmsclaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,987	AHERN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Neil R. Kardos	3623		

	Neil R. Kardos	3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) he mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejiccion, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the saturbuy period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejiccion. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(7).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term ediptisment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2010</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
	7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The above of the abo						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8.10.32-41 and 52-63</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection under 35 U.S.C. \(\times \) 103 set forth in the final office action is maintained.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3623					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. § 112, set forth on page 3 of the office action dated 3/2/2010.